

EQUIVARIANT CHERN NUMBERS AND THE NUMBER OF FIXED POINTS FOR UNITARY TORUS MANIFOLDS

ZHI LÜ AND QIANGBO TAN

ABSTRACT. Let M^{2n} be a unitary torus $(2n)$ -manifold, i.e., a $(2n)$ -dimensional oriented stable complex connected closed T^n -manifold having a nonempty fixed set. In this paper we show that M bounds equivariantly if and only if the equivariant Chern numbers $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c_l^{T^n}$ denotes the l th equivariant Chern class of M . As a consequence, we also show that if M does not bound equivariantly then the number of fixed points is at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T^n denote the torus of rank n . An *oriented stable complex closed T^n -manifold* is an oriented closed smooth manifold M with an effective T^n -action such that its tangent bundle admits a T^n -equivariant stable complex structure. It is well-known from [GGK] that the equivariant cobordism class of an oriented stable complex closed T^n -manifold with isolated fixed points is completely determined by its equivariant Chern numbers. In this paper, we shall pay more attention on the oriented stable complex (connected) closed T^n -manifolds of dimension $2n$ with nonempty fixed set, which are also called the *unitary torus manifolds* or *unitary toric manifolds* (see [HM] and [M]). These geometrical objects are the topological analogues of compact non-singular toric varieties, and constitute a much wider class than that of quasi-toric manifolds introduced by Davis and Januszkiewicz in [DJ]. Also, the nonempty fixed set of a unitary torus manifold must be isolated since the action is assumed to be effective. In this case, we shall show that the equivariant cobordism class of a unitary torus manifold is determined by only those equivariant Chern numbers produced by the first and the second equivariant Chern classes. Our result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a unitary torus manifold. Then M bounds equivariantly if and only if the equivariant Chern numbers $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $[M]$ is the fundamental class of M with respect to the given orientation.*

In [K], Kosniowski studied unitary S^1 -manifolds and got some interesting results on the fixed points of the action, where “unitary” means that the tangent bundle of M admits a S^1 -equivariant stable complex structure. In particular, when the fixed points are isolated, he proposed the following conjecture.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58C30, 57S25, 57R75; Secondary 57R91, 53C24, 14M25.

Key words and phrases. Unitary torus manifold, equivariant Chern number, cobordism.

Supported in part by grants from NSFC (No. 10931005) and Shanghai NSF (No. 10ZR1403600), RFDP (No. 20100071110001).

Conjecture 1.2 (Kosniowski). Suppose that M^n is a unitary S^1 -manifold with isolated fixed points. If M does not bound equivariantly then the number of fixed points is greater than $f(n)$, where $f(n)$ is some linear function.

Remark 1. As was noted by Kosniowski in [K], the most likely function is $f(n) = \frac{n}{4}$, so the number of fixed points of M^n is at least $\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil + 1$.

With respect to this conjecture, recently some related works have been done. For example, Pelayo and Tolman in [PT] studied compact symplectic manifolds with symplectic circle actions, and proved that if the weights induced from the isotropy representations on the fixed points of such a S^1 -manifold satisfy some subtle condition, then the action has at least $n + 1$ isolated fixed points. In [LL], Ping Li and Kefeng Liu showed that if M^{2mn} is an almost complex manifold and there exists a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ of weight m such that the corresponding Chern number $\langle (c_{\lambda_1} \dots c_{\lambda_r})^n, [M] \rangle$ is nonzero, then for any S^1 -action on M , it must have at least $n + 1$ isolated fixed points.

In the case of the unitary torus manifolds, comparing with Kosniowski's Conjecture 1.2, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that M^{2n} is a $(2n)$ -dimensional unitary torus manifold. If M does not bound equivariantly, then the number of fixed points is at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, where $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ denotes the minimal integer no less than $\frac{n}{2}$.*

Remark 2. It should be interesting to discuss whether there exists an example of $(2n)$ -dimensional unitary torus manifolds, which doesn't bound euquivariantly but has exactly $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ isolated fixed points for every n .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Equivariant Chern characteristic numbers. The *equivariant Chern characteristic numbers* $c_{\omega}^{T^n}(M)$ of an oriented stable complex closed T^n -manifold M are defined as

$$c_{\omega}^{T^n}(M) = \langle (c_1^{T^n})^{i_1} \dots (c_k^{T^n})^{i_k}, [M] \rangle \in H^*(BT^n; \mathbb{Z})$$

where $\omega = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is a multi-index and $c_l^{T^n}$ is the l th equivariant Chern class of M . Unlike the ordinary Chern characteristic numbers, these equivariant Chern characteristic numbers can be nonzero polynomials in $H^*(BT^n; \mathbb{Z})$ if the degree of the product $\deg(c_1^{T^n})^{i_1} \dots (c_k^{T^n})^{i_k}$ is greater than $\dim M/2$.

If the oriented stable complex closed T^n -manifold M has only isolated fixed points, then it is known from [GGK] that at each fixed point $p \in M^{T^n}$ the tangent space $T_p M$ is equipped with the induced T^n -action, orientation and complex structure, and the T^n -equivariant cobordism class of M is determined by the complex T^n -representations $T_p M$ at all $p \in M^{T^n}$ and their orientations. Then Guillemin, Ginzburg and Karshon in [GGK] applied Atiyah–Bott–Berline–Vergne localization theorem to give the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Guillemin–Ginzburg–Karshon). *Let M be an oriented stable complex closed T^n -manifold with isolated fixed points. Then M bounds equivariantly if and only if all equivariant Chern characteristic numbers of M are equal to zero.*

2.2. Unitary torus manifolds and Atiyah–Bott–Berline–Vergne localization theorem. Let M^{2n} be a $(2n)$ -dimensional unitary torus manifold. Following [M], we say that a closed, connected, real codimension-2 submanifold of M^{2n} is called *characteristic* if it is a fixed set component by a certain circle subgroup of T^n and contains at least one T^n -fixed point. Then M^{2n} has finitely many such characteristic submanifolds. By $M_i, i \in [m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ we denote all characteristic submanifolds of M^{2n} , and by ζ_i denote the corresponding normal bundle over M_i , and by T_i denote the circle subgroup fixing M_i pointwise. Then, for each $p \in M^{T^n}$, we can write the tangent T^n -representation at p as

$$T_p M = \bigoplus_{i \in I(p)} \zeta_i|_p$$

where $I(p) = \{i | p \in M_i\} \subset [m]$ and $\zeta_i|_p$ is the restriction of ζ_i to p . So $|I(p)| = n$. Each M_i may define an element λ_i in the equivariant cohomology $H_{T^n}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Actually, the inclusion $M_i \hookrightarrow M$ may induce an equivariant Gysin homomorphism: $H_{T^n}^*(M_i; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_{T^n}^{*+2}(M; \mathbb{Z})$, so that $\lambda_i \in H_{T^n}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ can be chosen as the image of the identity in $H_{T^n}^0(M_i; \mathbb{Z})$. It was shown in [M, Theorem 3.1] that the total equivariant Chern characteristic class $c^{T^n}(TM)$ of the tangent bundle TM of M can be expressed as

$$c^{T^n}(TM) = \prod_{i \in [m]} (1 + \lambda_i)$$

in $\hat{H}_{T^n}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = H_{T^n}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})/S$ -torsion where S is the subset of $H^*(BT^n; \mathbb{Z})$ generated multiplicatively by nonzero elements of $H^2(BT^n; \mathbb{Z})$. It is well-known that the restriction $\lambda_i|_p$ can be regarded as the top equivariant Chern class of $\zeta_i|_p$. Hence, the total equivariant Chern characteristic class of the vector bundle $T_p M \longrightarrow \{p\}$ is

$$c^{T^n}(T_p M) = c^{T^n}(TM)|_p = \prod_{i \in I(p)} (1 + \lambda_i|_p).$$

In particular, Masuda in [M] also showed the following result, which will be very useful in our discussion later.

Lemma 2.2 ([M, Lemma 1.3(1)]). *$\{\lambda_i|_p | i \in I(p)\}$ forms a basis of $H_{T^n}^2(\{p\}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(BT^n; \mathbb{Z})$.*

On the other hand, the normal bundle to p in M^{2n} is $T_p M$ with the orientation inherited from M^{2n} . Thus, the equivariant Euler class $e^{T^n}(T_p M)$ of this bundle is $\pm c_n^{T^n}(T_p M) = \pm \prod_{i \in I(p)} \lambda_i|_p$, where the sign is positive if the orientation of $T_p M$ agrees with the complex orientation and negative otherwise.

Each $c^{T^n}(T_p M) = \prod_{i \in I(p)} (1 + \lambda_i|_p) = 1 + \sigma_1(p) + \dots + \sigma_n(p)$ determines a collection $\sigma(p) = (\sigma_1(p), \dots, \sigma_n(p))$, where $\sigma_j(p)$ denotes the j th elementary symmetric function over n variables $\lambda_i|_p, i \in I(p)$. Clearly, $\sigma(p)$ determines the representation $T_p M$, but not the orientation of $T_p M$ inherited from M .

Now choose a basis $\Lambda = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ in $H^2(BT^n; \mathbb{Z})$. Then we obtain a collection $\sigma(\Lambda) = (\sigma_1(\Lambda), \dots, \sigma_n(\Lambda))$, where $\sigma_i(\Lambda)$ means the i th elementary symmetric function $\sigma_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\sigma(\Lambda)} &= \# \{p \in M^{T^n} | \sigma(p) = \sigma(\Lambda), e^{T^n}(T_p M) = \sigma_n(\Lambda)\} \\ &\quad - \# \{p \in M^{T^n} | \sigma(p) = \sigma(\Lambda), e^{T^n}(T_p M) = -\sigma_n(\Lambda)\} \end{aligned}$$

where we let $m_{\sigma(\Lambda)} = 0$ if $\sigma(\Lambda)$ does not occur as the collection of symmetric functions for any of the $T_p M$'s. With the above understood, now we can state the Atiyah–Bott–Berline–Vergne localization theorem in our case as follows:

Theorem 2.3 (A–B–B–V localization theorem). *Let M^{2n} be a $(2n)$ -dimensional unitary torus manifold. Then*

$$c_\omega^{T^n}(M) = \sum_{p \in M^{T^n}} \frac{\sigma_1(p)^{i_1} \cdots \sigma_n(p)^{i_n}}{\pm \sigma_n(p)} = \sum_{\sigma(\Lambda)} m_{\sigma(\Lambda)} \sigma_1(\Lambda)^{i_1} \cdots \sigma_n(\Lambda)^{i_n-1}$$

where $\omega = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a multi-index.

3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

First we prove two lemmas which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let M^{2n} be a $(2n)$ -dimensional unitary torus manifold and let $p, q \in M^{T^n}$ be two fixed points.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\sigma_1(p) = \sigma_1(q)$ and $\sigma_n(p) = \pm \sigma_n(q)$ then $\sigma(p) = \sigma(q)$.*

Proof. If $\sigma_n(p) = \pm \sigma_n(q)$, then $\prod_{i \in I(p)} \lambda_i|_p = \pm \prod_{i \in I(q)} \lambda_i|_q$. So, by Lemma 2.2 we have that $\{\lambda_i|_p | i \in I(p)\} = \{\varepsilon_i \lambda_i|_q | i \in I(q)\}$ where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$. Furthermore, if $\sigma_1(p) = \sigma_1(q)$, then

$$\sigma_1(p) = \sum_{i \in I(p)} \lambda_i|_p = \sum_{i \in I(q)} \varepsilon_i \lambda_i|_q = \sum_{i \in I(q)} \lambda_i|_q = \sigma_1(q)$$

so $\sum_{i \in I(q)} (1 - \varepsilon_i) \lambda_i|_q = 0$. This implies that $\varepsilon_i = 1$ for all $i \in I(q)$ since $\lambda_i|_q, i \in I(q)$ are linearly independent, and the lemma then follows. \square

Lemma 3.2. *$\sigma(p) = \sigma(q)$ if and only if $\sigma_1(p) = \sigma_1(q)$ and $\sigma_2(p) = \sigma_2(q)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\sigma(p) = \sigma(q)$ if $\sigma_1(p) = \sigma_1(q)$ and $\sigma_2(p) = \sigma_2(q)$. Consider $s_2(p) = \sum_{i \in I(p)} (\lambda_i|_p)^2$ and $s_2(q) = \sum_{i \in I(q)} (\lambda_i|_q)^2$. If $\sigma_1(p) = \sigma_1(q)$ and $\sigma_2(p) = \sigma_2(q)$, then $s_2(p) = s_2(q)$ since $s_2 = \sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2$ by [MS]. Since both $\{\lambda_i|_p | i \in I(p)\}$ and $\{\lambda_i|_q | i \in I(q)\}$ are two bases of $H^2(BT^n; \mathbb{Z})$ by Lemma 2.2, there is an $n \times n$ non-degenerate \mathbb{Z} -matrix A such that

$$(\lambda_i|_p | i \in I(p)) = (\lambda_i|_q | i \in I(q))A.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$s_2(p) - s_2(q) = (\lambda_i|_q | i \in I(q))(AA^\top - E_n)(\lambda_i|_q | i \in I(q))^\top = 0$$

so we conclude that $AA^\top = E_n$, where E_n is the identity matrix. This implies that each row of A contains only one ± 1 and the other elements in this row are all 0. Hence

$$\sigma_n(p) = \pm \prod_{i \in I(q)} \lambda_i|_q = \pm \sigma_n(q)$$

and then the proof is completed by Lemma 3.1. \square

Let $\{\sigma_1(p) | p \in M^{T^n}\} = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s\}$ and $\{\sigma_2(p) | p \in M^{T^n}\} = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_u\}$. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{p \in M^{T^n} | \sigma_1(p) = \tau_k\}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq s$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_l = \{p \in M^{T^n} | \sigma_2(p) = \eta_l\}$$

for $1 \leq l \leq u$. Then $|M^{T^n}| = \sum_{k=1}^s |\mathcal{A}_k| = \sum_{l=1}^u |\mathcal{B}_l|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 2.1 it suffices to prove that if the equivariant Chern numbers $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, then M^{2n} bounds equivariantly. Now suppose $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 2.3, we can write these equivariant Chern numbers in the following way:

$$(3.1) \quad \langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^s \tau_k^i \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_k} \eta_l^j \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 = \tau_k \\ \sigma_2 = \eta_l}} \frac{m_\sigma}{\sigma_n}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_k = \{l | \mathcal{A}_k \cap \mathcal{B}_l \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq l \leq u\}$. Obviously, $|\mathcal{L}_k| \leq |\mathcal{A}_k|$ for every k . Let i vary in the range $0, 1, \dots, s-1$. Then (τ_k^i) is an $s \times s$ van der Monde matrix, so for each k ,

$$\sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_k} \eta_l^j \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 = \tau_k \\ \sigma_2 = \eta_l}} \frac{m_\sigma}{\sigma_n} = 0.$$

Next, let j vary in the range $0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{L}_k| - 1$. Then (η_l^j) is a $|\mathcal{L}_k| \times |\mathcal{L}_k|$ van der Monde matrix, hence

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 = \tau_k \\ \sigma_2 = \eta_l}} \frac{m_\sigma}{\sigma_n} = 0.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2 we have that $\frac{m_\sigma}{\sigma_n} = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 = \tau_k \\ \sigma_2 = \eta_l}} \frac{m_\sigma}{\sigma_n} = 0$ so $m_\sigma = 0$ for all σ . Thus, by Theorem 2.3, all equivariant Chern characteristic numbers of M are equal to zero, as desired. \square

Now we focus on the proof of Theorem 1.3. First we give a general result.

Proposition 3.3. *If $s + 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\} - 3 < n$ or $2u + \max_{1 \leq l \leq u} \{|\mathcal{B}_l|\} - 3 < n$, then M bounds equivariantly.*

Proof. In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can write the equivariant Chern numbers $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle$ in the following two ways:

$$(3.2) \quad \langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^s \tau_k^i \sum_{l \in \mathcal{L}_k} \eta_l^j \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 = \tau_k \\ \sigma_2 = \eta_l}} \frac{m_\sigma}{\sigma_n}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^u \eta_l^j \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_l} \tau_k^i \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 = \tau_k \\ \sigma_2 = \eta_l}} \frac{m_\sigma}{\sigma_n}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_k = \{l | \mathcal{A}_k \cap \mathcal{B}_l \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq l \leq u\}$ as before and $\mathcal{K}_l = \{k | \mathcal{A}_k \cap \mathcal{B}_l \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq k \leq s\}$, satisfying that $|\mathcal{L}_k| \leq |\mathcal{A}_k|$ for every k and $|\mathcal{K}_l| \leq |\mathcal{B}_l|$ for every l . We note that if $i + 2j < n$, then $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = 0$. If $s + 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\} - 3 < n$, then we can let i vary in the range $0, 1, \dots, s-1$ and for every k , let j vary in the range $0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{L}_k| - 1 \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\} - 1$ in Equation (3.2). Similarly, if $2u + \max_{1 \leq l \leq u} \{|\mathcal{B}_l|\} - 3 < n$, then we can let j vary in the range $0, 1, \dots, u-1$ and for every l , let i vary in the range $0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{K}_l| - 1 \leq \max_{1 \leq l \leq u} \{|\mathcal{B}_l|\} - 1$ in Equation (3.3). Using the proof method of Theorem 1.1 as above, we can get van der Monde

matrices, which imply that $m_\sigma = 0$ for all σ , and hence $\langle (c_1^{T^n})^i (c_2^{T^n})^j, [M] \rangle = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, M bounds equivariantly by Theorem 1.1. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let a_1, \dots, a_r be positive integers. If $a_1 + \dots + a_r = \ell$, then $r + 2 \max\{a_i | 1 \leq i \leq r\} \leq 2\ell + 1$.*

Proof. Obviously, $\max\{a_i | 1 \leq i \leq r\} \leq \ell - r + 1$, and the equation holds if and only if there is only some one $a_i = \ell - r + 1$ and all others are equal to 1. Then we have the required inequality $r + 2 \max\{a_i | 1 \leq i \leq r\} \leq 2\ell + 1$, where the last equation holds if and only if $r = 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. If $|M^{T^n}| = |\mathcal{A}_1| + \dots + |\mathcal{A}_s| < \frac{n}{2} + 1$, then by Lemma 3.4, $s + 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\} \leq 2|M^{T^n}| + 1 < n + 3$, so M bounds equivariantly by Proposition 3.3. \square

Remark 3. Let us look at the case in which M doesn't bound equivariantly and $|M^{T^n}| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. When n is even, we have $s + 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\} = 2|M^{T^n}| + 1$ by Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. This implies that $s = 1$ by the proof of Lemma 3.4, which means that all σ_1 are the same. When n is odd, we see that $n + 3 \leq s + 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\} \leq 2|M^{T^n}| + 1 = n + 4$. An easy argument shows that $n + 3 = s + 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\}$ is impossible, so we must have $s + 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \{|\mathcal{A}_k|\} = 2|M^{T^n}| + 1$. Thus, in this case s must be 1 and then all σ_1 are the same, too. Moreover, in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can show easily that $|M^{T^n}| = u$ so all σ_2 are distinct. These observations seemingly imply the existence of a nonbounding unitary torus manifold M^{2n} with $|M^{T^n}| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. Indeed, we can see an example in the case $n = 1$, as shown in [K, Theorem 5].

Finally we conclude this paper with the following conjecture:

Conjecture 3.5. $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ is the best possible lower bound of the number of fixed points for $(2n)$ -dimensional nonbounding unitary torus manifolds.

REFERENCES

- [DJ] M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus Actions*, Duke Mathematical Journal, **62** (1991), 417–451.
- [GGK] V. Guillemin, V. Ginzburg and Y. Karshon, *Moment Maps, Cobordisms, and Hamiltonian Group Actions*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **98**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [HM] A. Hattori and M. Masuda, *Theory of multi-fans*, Osaka J. Math. **40** (2003), 1–68.
- [K] Czes Kosniowski, *Some formulae and conjectures associated with circle actions*, Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Siegen, Siegen, 1979), pp331–339, Lecture Notes in Math., **788**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [LL] Ping Li and Kefeng Liu, *Some remarks on circle action on manifolds*, arXiv: 1008.4820, to appear in Mathematical Research Letters.
- [M] M. Masuda, *Unitary toric manifolds, multi-fans and equivariant index*, Tohoku Math. J. **51** (1999), 237–265.
- [MS] J.W. Milnor and J.D. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. **76**, Princeton University Press/University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, NJ/Tokyo, 1974.
- [PT] A. Pelayo and S. Tolman, *Fixed points of symplectic periodic flows*, arXiv: 1003.4787, to appear in Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND THE KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS FOR NON-LINEAR SCIENCES OF MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: `zlu@fudan.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, P.R. CHINA.

E-mail address: `081018011@fudan.edu.cn`